arXiv:1111.4067v1 [math.NT] 17 Nov 2011

Determinant and Permanent of Hessenberg Matrix and Generalized Lucas Polynomials

Kenan Kaygisiz[a,*], Adem Sahin[a]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Gaziosmanpaşa University,
60250 Tokat, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, we give some determinantal and permanental representations of Generalized Lucas Polynomials by using various Hessenberg matrices, which are general form of determinantal and permanental representations of ordinary Lucas and Perrin sequences. Then we show, under what conditions that the determinants of the Hessenberg matrix becomes its permanents.

Keywords: Lucas numbers, Perrin numbers, generalized Lucas polynomials, generalized Perrin polynomials, Hessenberg matrix, determinant and permanent.
2010 MSC: Primary 11B37, 15A15, Secondary 15A51

---

1. Introduction

Fibonacci numbers, Lucas numbers and Perrin numbers are

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} \text{ for } n > 2 \text{ and } F_1 = F_2 = 1,$$

$$L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2} \text{ for } n > 1 \text{ and } L_0 = 2,\ L_1 = 1,$$

$$R_n = R_{n-2} + R_{n-3} \text{ for } n > 3 \text{ and } R_0 = 3,\ R_1 = 0,\ R_2 = 2$$

respectively.

There are large amount of studies on these sequences. In addition, generalization of these sequences have been studied by many researchers.

Miles [10] defined generalized order-k Fibonacci numbers(GOkF) as,

$$f_{k,n} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{k,n-j} \tag{1}$$

---

[*] Corresponding author (+903562521616-3087)

Email addresses: `kenan.kaygisiz@gop.edu.tr` (Kenan Kaygisiz), `adem.sahin@gop.edu.tr` (Adem Sahin)

for $n > k \geq 2$, with boundary conditions: $f_{k,1} = f_{k,2} = f_{k,3} = \cdots = f_{k,k-2} = 0$ and $f_{k,k-1} = f_{k,k} = 1$.

Er [2] defined k sequences of generalized order-k Fibonacci numbers ($k$SO$k$F) as; for $n > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$f_{k,n}^{\,i} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j f_{k,n-j}^{\,i} \tag{2}$$

with boundary conditions for $1 - k \leq n \leq 0$,

$$f_{k,n}^{\,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ i = 1 - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where c_j $(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are constant coefficients, $f_{k,n}^{\,i}$ is the n-th term of i-th sequence of order k generalization. For $c_j = 1$, k-th sequence of this generalization involves the Miles generalization(2) for $i = k$, i.e.

$$f_{k,n}^{k} = f_{k,k+n-2}. \tag{3}$$

Kiliç and Taçcı[3] defined k sequences of generalized order-k Pell numbers ($k$SO$k$P) as; for $n > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$p_{k,n}^{\,i} = 2p_{k,n-1}^{\,i} + p_{k,n-2}^{\,i} + \cdots + \ p_{k,n-k}^{\,i} \tag{4}$$

with initial conditions for $1 - k \leq n \leq 0$,

$$p_{k,n}^{\,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ i = 1 - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $p_{k,n}^{\,i}$ is the n-th term of i-th sequence of order k generalization.

MacHenry [7] defined generalized Fibonacci polynomials ($F_{k,n}(t)$), Lucas polynomials ($G_{k,n}(t)$), where t_i $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ are constant coefficients of the core polynomial

$$P(x; t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) = x^k - t_1 x^{k-1} - \cdots - t_k, \tag{5}$$

which is denoted by the vector

$$t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k). \tag{6}$$

$F_{k,n}(t)$ is defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,n}(t) &= 0, \ n < 1 \\ F_{k,1}(t) &= 1 \\ F_{k,2}(t) &= t_1 \\ F_{k,n+1}(t) &= t_1 F_{k,n}(t) + \cdots + t_k F_{k,n-k+1}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$G_{k,n}(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,n}(t) &= 0, \ n < 0 \\ G_{k,0}(t) &= k \\ G_{k,1}(t) &= t_1 \\ G_{k,n+1}(t) &= t_1 G_{k,n}(t) + \cdots + t_k G_{k,n-k+1}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Moreover in [8,9], MacHenry obtained some properties of these polynomials. In [9] MacHenry gave a relation between generalized Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials as;

$$G_{k,0}(t) = k, G_{k,n}(t) = F_{k,n+1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j t_{j+1} F_{k,n-j}(t).$$

Equivalently this relation can be written as;

$$G_{k,0}(t) = k, \ G_{k,n}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} j t_j F_{k,n-j+1}(t).$$

Kaygisiz and Şahin [4] definied generalized Perrin polynomials by using generalized Lucas Polynomials. For $k \geq 3$;

$$\begin{aligned} R_{k,0}(t) &= k \\ R_{k,1}(t) &= 0 \\ R_{k,2}(t) &= 2t_2 \\ R_{k,3}(t) &= t_2 R_{k,1}(t) + 3t_3 \\ R_{k,4}(t) &= t_2 R_{k,2}(t) + t_3 R_{k,1}(t) + 4t_4 \\ &\vdots \\ R_{k,k-1}(t) &= t_2 R_{k,k-3}(t) + \cdots + t_{k-1} R_{k,1}(t) + k t_k \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and for $n \geq k$,

$$R_{k,n}(t) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} t_i R_{k,n-i}(t).$$

Remark 1.1. Let $f_{k,k+n-2}$, $f^{i}_{k,n}$, $p^{i}_{k,n}$, $F_{k,n}(t)$, $G_{k,n}(t)$ and $R_{k,n}(t)$ be GOkF(2), kSOkF(3), kSOkP(4), generalized Fibonacci polynomials(7), generalized Lucas polynomials(8) and generalized Perrin polynomials(9) respectively. Then
i) substituting $c_i = t_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ in (3) and (7), we obtain the equality

$$f^{1}_{k,n-1} = F_{k,n}(t),$$

ii) substituting $t_1 = 2$ and $t_i = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ in (7), we obtain the equality

$$p^{1}_{k,n-1} = F_{k,n}(t),$$

iii) substituting $t_1 = 0$ in (8), we obtain the equality

$$R_{k,n}(t) = G_{k,n}(t),$$

iv) substituting $t_i = 1$ in (7), we obtain the equality

$$f_{k,k+n-2} = F_{k,n}(t),$$

v) substituting $t_i = 1$ and $k = 2$ in (8), we obtain the equality

$$L_n = G_{k,n}(t),$$

vi) substituting $t_1 = 0$ and $t_i = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$ and $k = 3$ in (8), we obtain the equality

$$R_n = G_{k,n}(t).$$

This Remark shows that $F_{k,n}(t)$ and $G_{k,n}(t)$ are general form of all sequences mentioned above. Therefore, any result obtained from the polynomial $F_{k,n}(t)$ and $G_{k,n}(t)$ are valid for other sequences.

Many researchers studied on determinantal and permanental representations of k sequences of generalized order-k Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. For example, Minc [11] defined an $n \times n$ (0,1)-matrix $F(n,k)$, and showed that the permanents of $F(n,k)$ is equal to the generalized order-k Fibonacci numbers.

In [5] and [6] the authors defined two (0,1)-matrices and showed that the permanents of these matrices are the generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. Öcal [12] gave some determinantal and permanental representations of k-generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers and obtained Binet's formulas for these sequences. Yılmaz and Bozkurt [15] derived some relationships between Pell and Perrin sequences, and permanents and determinants of a type of Hessenberg matrices. In [13] and [14] the authors give some relation between determinant and permanent.

In this paper we give some determinantal and permanental representations of Generalized Lucas Polynomials by using various Hessenberg matrices. In addition we show under what conditions that the determinants of the Hessenberg matrix becomes its permanent.

2. The determinantal representations

An $n \times n$ matrix $A_n = (a_{ij})$ is called lower Hessenberg matrix if $a_{ij} = 0$ when $j - i > 1$ i.e.,

$$A_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & a_{n-1,3} & \cdots & a_{n-1,n} \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & a_{n,3} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (10)$$

Theorem 2.1. [1] *A_n be the $n \times n$ lower Hessenberg matrix for all $n \geq 1$ and define* $\det(A_0) = 1$, *then,*

$$\det(A_1) = a_{11}$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$\det(A_n) = a_{n,n} \det(A_{n-1}) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} ((-1)^{n-r} a_{n,r} \prod_{j=r}^{n-1} a_{j,j+1} \det(A_{r-1})). \qquad (11)$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, $G_{k,n}(t)$ be the generalized Lucas Polynomials and $C_{k,n} = (c_{rs})$ be an $n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix, where*

$$c_{rs} = \begin{cases} i^{|r-s|}.\frac{t_{r-s+1}}{t_2^{(r-s)}} & \text{if } \ s \neq 1 \text{ and } -1 \leq r-s < k \ , \\ i^{|r-s|}.\frac{t_{r-s+1}}{t_2^{(r-s)}}.(r-s+1) & \text{if } \ s = 1 \text{ and } -1 \leq r-s < k \ , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$Q_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & it_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2i & t_1 & it_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 3i^2\frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & i & t_1 & it_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ ki^{k-1}\frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & i^{k-2}\frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & i^{k-3}\frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & i^{k-4}\frac{t_{k-3}}{t_2^{k-4}} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & i^{k-1}\frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & i^{k-2}\frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & i^{k-3}\frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & it_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & i & t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det(C_{k,n}) = G_{k,n}(t)$$

where $t_0 = 1$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Proof. Proof is by mathematical induction on n. The result is true for $n = 1$ by hypothesis.

Assume that it is true for all positive integers less than or equal to m, that is $\det(C_{k,m}) = G_{k,m+1}(t)$. Using Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(C_{k,m+1}) &= q_{m+1,m+1}\det(C_{k,m}) + \sum_{r=1}^{m}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}q_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}q_{j,j+1}\det(C_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&= t_1\det(C_{k,m}) + \sum_{r=1}^{m-k+1}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}q_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}q_{j,j+1}\det(C_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&\quad + \sum_{r=m-k+2}^{m}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}q_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}q_{j,j+1}\det(C_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&= t_1\det(C_{k,m}) + \sum_{r=m-k+2}^{m}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}q_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}q_{j,j+1}\det(C_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&= t_1\det(C_{k,m}) + \sum_{r=m-k+2}^{m}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}.i^{m+1-r}\frac{t_{m-r+2}}{t_2^{(m-r+1)}}\prod_{j=r}^{m}it_2\det(C_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&= t_1\det(C_{k,m})\\
&\quad + \sum_{r=m-k+2}^{m}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}.i^{m+1-r}\frac{t_{m-r+2}}{t_2^{(m-r+1)}}.i^{m+1-r}.t_2^{(m-r+1)}\det(Q_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&= t_1\det(Q_{k,m}) + \sum_{r=m-k+2}^{m}\left((-1)^{m+1-r}.i^{m+1-r}t_{m-r+2}.i^{m+1-r}.\det(Q_{k,r-1})\right)\\
&= t_1\det(Q_{k,m}) + \sum_{r=m-k+2}^{m}t_{m-r+2}\det(Q_{k,r-1})\\
&= t_1\det(Q_{k,m}) + t_2\det(Q_{k,m-1}) + \cdots + t_k\det(Q_{k,m-(k-1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis and the definition of generalized Lucas polynomials we obtain

$$\det(C_{k,m+1}) = t_1G_{k,m}(t) + t_2G_{k,m-1}(t) + \cdots + t_kG_{k,m-(k-1)}(t) = G_{k,m+1}(t).$$

Therefore, the result is true for all possitive integers. □

Example 2.3. *We obtain* 6*-th Generalized Lucas polynomials for* $k = 5$, *i.e.*

$G_{5,6}(t)$, by using Theorem 2.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\det(C_{5,6}) &= \det\begin{bmatrix}
t_1 & -it_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2i & t_1 & -it_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3\frac{-t_3}{t_2^2} & i & t_1 & -it_2 & 0 & 0 \\
4\frac{-it_4}{t_2^3} & \frac{-t_3}{t_2^2} & i & t_1 & -it_2 & 0 \\
5\frac{t_5}{t_2^4} & \frac{-it_4}{t_2^3} & \frac{-t_3}{t_2^2} & i & t_1 & -it_2 \\
0 & \frac{t_5}{t_2^4} & \frac{-it_4}{t_2^3} & \frac{-t_3}{t_2^2} & i & t_1
\end{bmatrix} \\
&= 6t_1t_5 + 6t_2t_4 + 12t_1t_2t_3 + 2t_2^3 + 3t_3^2 + t_1^6 + 6t_1^2t_4 + 6t_1^3t_3 + 6t_1^4t_2 + 9t_1^2t_2^2 \\
&= G_{5,6}(t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, $G_{k,n}$ be the generalized Lucas Polynomial and $B_{k,n} = (b_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ lower Hessenberg matrix such that*

$$
b_{ij} = \begin{cases}
-t_2 & \text{if} \quad j = i+1, \\
\frac{t_{i-j+1}}{t_2^{(i-j)}} & \text{if} \;\; i \neq 1 \quad \text{and } 0 \leq i-j < k, \\
\frac{t_{i-j+1}}{t_2^{(i-j)}}.(i-j+1) & \text{if} \quad i = 1 \quad \text{and } 0 \leq i-j < k, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
$$

i.e.,

$$
B_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix}
t_1 & -t_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
2 & t_1 & -t_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
3\frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & 1 & t_1 & -t_2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
k\frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & \frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & \frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & \frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & \frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & -t_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & t_1
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Then

$$\det(B_{k,n}) = G_{k,n}(t).$$

where $t_0 = 1$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 using Theorem 2.1. □

Example 2.5. *We obtain* 5*-th generalized Lucas polynomial for* $k = 4$*, i.e.* $G_{4,5}(t)$*, by using Theorem 2.4.*

$$\begin{aligned} B_{4,5} &= \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & -t_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & t_1 & -t_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3\frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & 1 & t_1 & -t_2 & 0 \\ 4\frac{t_4}{t_2^3} & \frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & 1 & t_1 & -t_2 \\ 0 & \frac{t_4}{t_2^3} & \frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & 1 & t_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 5t_1t_4 + 5t_2t_3 + t_1^5 + 5t_1t_2^2 + 5t_1^2t_3 + 5t_1^3t_2 \\ &= G_{4,5}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.6. *If we rewrite Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 for* $t_i = 1$ *and* $k = 2$*, we obtain*

$$\det(C_{k,n}) = L_n$$

and

$$\det(B_{k,n}) = L_n$$

respectively, where L_n *are the ordinary Lucas numbers.*

Corollary 2.7. *If we rewrite Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 for* $t_1 = 0$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq k$*, we obtain*

$$\det(C_{k,n}) = R_{k,n}(t)$$

and

$$\det(B_{k,n}) = R_{k,n}(t)$$

respectively, where $R_{k,n}(t)$ *are the generalized Perrin polynomials.*

Corollary 2.8. *If we rewrite Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 for* $t_1 = 0$ *and* $t_i = 1$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq k$ *and* $k = 3$ *we obtain*

$$\det(C_{k,n}) = R_n$$

and

$$\det(B_{k,n}) = R_n$$

respectively, where R_n *are the ordinary Perrin numbers.*

3. The permanent representations

Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be an $n \times n$ square matrix over a ring R. The permanent of A is defined by

$$\mathrm{per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)}$$

where S_n denotes the symmetric group on n letters.

Theorem 3.1. [10]*Let A_n be an $n \times n$ lower Hessenberg matrix for all $n \geq 1$ and define $per(A_0) = 1$. Then,*

$$per(A_1) = a_{11}$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$per(A_n) = a_{n,n} per(A_{n-1}) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (a_{n,r} \prod_{j=r}^{n-1} a_{j,j+1} per(A_{r-1})). \tag{12}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, $G_{k,n}(t)$ be the generalized Lucas Polynomials and $H_{k,n} = (h_{rs})$ be an $n \times n$ lower Hessenberg matrix such that*

$$h_{rs} = \begin{cases} i^{(r-s)} . \frac{t_{r-s+1}}{t_2^{(r-s)}} & \text{if } s \neq 1 \text{ and} -1 \leq r-s < k, \\ i^{(r-s)} . \frac{t_{r-s+1}}{t_2^{(r-s)}} . (r-s+1) & \text{if } s = 1 \text{ and } -1 \leq r-s < k \text{ ,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$H_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & -it_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2i & t_1 & -it_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 3i^2 \frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & i & t_1 & -it_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ ki^{k-1} \frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & i^{k-2} \frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & i^{k-3} \frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & i^{k-4} \frac{t_{k-3}}{t_2^{k-4}} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & i^{k-1} \frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & i^{k-2} \frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & i^{k-3} \frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & t_1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

Then

$$per(H_{k,n}) = G_{k,n}(t)$$

where $t_0 = 1$ and $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 by using Theorem 3.1. □

Example 3.3. *We obtain* 3*-th Generalized Lucas polynomials for* $k = 4$*, by using Theorem 3.2*

$$\begin{aligned} \det(H_{4,3}) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & -it_2 & 0 \\ 2i & t_1 & -it_2 \\ 3\frac{-t_3}{t_2} & i & t_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 3t_3 + 3t_1t_2 + t_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $k \geq 2$ *be an integer,* $G_{k,n}(t)$ *be the generalized Lucas Polynomials and* $L_{k,n} = (l_{ij})$ *be an* $n \times n$ *lower Hessenberg matrix such that*

$$l_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{t_{i-j+1}}{t_2^{(i-j)}} & \text{if } j \neq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i-j < k, \\ \frac{t_{i-j+1}}{t_2^{(i-j)}}.(i-j+1) & \text{if } j = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i-j < k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$L_{k,n} = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2 & t_1 & t_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 3\frac{t_3}{t_2^2} & 1 & t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ k\frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & \frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & \frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & \frac{t_{k-3}}{t_2^{k-4}} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{t_k}{t_2^{k-1}} & \frac{t_{k-1}}{t_2^{k-2}} & \frac{t_{k-2}}{t_2^{k-3}} & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & t_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $t_0 = 1$*. Then*

$$per(L_{k,n}) = G_{k,n}(t).$$

Proof. Proof of the theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 using Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.5. *If we rewrite Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 for* $t_i = 1$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ *and* $k = 2$ *, we obtain*

$$per(H_{k,n}) = L_n$$

and

$$per(L_{k,n}) = L_n$$

respectively, where L_n *are the ordinary Lucas numbers.*

Corollary 3.6. *If we rewrite Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 for* $t_1 = 0$ *and* $t_i = 1$ $(2 \leq i \leq k)$*, we obtain*

$$per(H_{k,n}) = R_{k,n}(t)$$

and

$$per(L_{k,n}) = R_{k,n}(t)$$

respectively, where $R_{k,n}(t)$ *are the generalized Perrin polynomials.*

Corollary 3.7. *If we rewrite Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 for* $t_1 = 0$*,* $t_i = 1$ $(2 \leq i \leq k)$ *and* $k = 3$ *we obtain*

$$per(H_{k,n}) = R_n$$

and

$$per(L_{k,n}) = R_n$$

respectively, where R_n *are the ordinary Perrin numbers.*

3.1. Determinat and Permanent of a Hessenberg Matrix

In this section we give a relation between the determinant and the permanent of a Hessenberg matrix.

Theorem 3.8. *Let* A_n *be the Hessenberg matrix in (10) and* $B_n = (b_{ij})$ *be an*

$n \times n$ Hessenberg matrix such that

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j - i > 1, \\ -a_{ij} & \text{if } j - i = 1 \text{ ,} \\ a_{ij} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

i.e.,

$$B_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & -a_{12} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & -a_{23} & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,2} & a_{n-1,3} & \cdots & -a_{n-1,n} \\ a_{n,1} & a_{n,2} & a_{n,3} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det B_n = perA_n$$

or

$$\det A_n = perB_n.$$

Proof. We know from (11)

$$\det(A_1) = a_{11}$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$\det(A_n) = a_{n,n}\det(A_{n-1}) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}((-1)^{n-r}a_{n,r}\prod_{j=r}^{n-1}a_{j,j+1}\det(A_{r-1}))$$

and from (12)

$$\text{per}(A_1) = a_{11}$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$\text{per}(A_n) = a_{n,n}\text{per}(A_{n-1}) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(a_{n,r}\prod_{j=r}^{n-1}a_{j,j+1}\text{per}(A_{r-1})).$$

Using mathematical induction on n, we prove this theorem by using (11) and (12). The result is true for $n = 1$ by hypothesis.

Assume that it is true for all positive integers less than or equal to m, namely $\det B_m$ =perA_m. For $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B_{m+1}) &= a_{m+1,m+1}\det(B_m) + \sum_{r=1}^{m}((-1)^{m+1-r}a_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}b_{j,j+1}\det(B_{r-1})) \\ &= a_{m+1,m+1}\text{per}(A_m) + \sum_{r=1}^{m}((-1)^{m+1-r}a_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}(-a_{j,j+1})\text{per}(A_{r-1})) \\ &= a_{m+1,m+1}\text{per}(A_m) + \sum_{r=1}^{m}((-1)^{m+1-r}a_{m+1,r}(-1)^{m+1-r}\prod_{j=r}^{m}a_{j,j+1}\text{per}(A_{r-1})) \\ &= a_{m+1,m+1}\text{per}(A_m) + \sum_{r=1}^{m}(a_{m+1,r}\prod_{j=r}^{m+1}a_{j,j+1}\text{per}(A_{r-1})) \\ &= \text{per}(A_{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result is true for all possitive integers. □

References

[1] N.D. Cahill, J.R. D'Errico, D.A. Narayan, J.Y. Narayan, Fibonacci determinants, College Math. J. 33(3) (2002) 221-225.

[2] M. C. Er, Sums of Fibonacci Numbers by Matrix Method, Fibonacci Quarterly. 23(3) (1984) 204-207.

[3] E. Kiliç and D. Tasci, The Generalized Binet Formula, Representation and Sums of The Generalized Order-k Pell Numbers, Taiwanese Jour. of Math. 10(6) (2006) 1661-1670.

[4] K. Kaygisiz and A. Şahin, k sequences of Generalized Van der Laan and Generalized Perrin Polynomials, Submitted.

[5] G.-Y. Lee and S.-G. Lee, A Note on Generalized Fibonacci Numbers. Fib. Quart. 33(1995), 273-278.

[6] G.-Y. Lee, k-Lucas Numbers and Associated Bipartite Graphs. Lineer Algebra Appl. 320(2000), 51-61.

[7] T. MacHenry, A Subgroup of The Group of Units in The Ring of Arithmetic Fonctions. *Rocky Mount. Jour. of Math.*39(1999), no. 3, 1055-1065.

[8] T. MacHenry, Generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials and Multiplicative Arithmetic Functions. *Fibonacci Quarterly.* 38(2000), 17-24.

[9] T. MacHenry and K. Wong, Degree k Linear Recursions mod(p) and Number Fields. *Rocky Mount. Jour. of Math. to appear.*

[10] E.P. Miles, Generalized Fibonacci Numbers and Associated Matrices, Amer. Math. Monthly. 67 (1960) 745-752.

[11] H. Minc, Encyclopaedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Permanents, Vol.6, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, London, 1978.

[12] A.A. Öcal, N. Tuglu, E. Altinisik, On the representation of k-generalized Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers. Appl. Math. and Comput. 170(2005) 584-596

[13] V. E. Tarakanov and R. A. Zatorskii, A Relationship between Determinants and Permanents. *Mathematical Notes.* 85(2009), 267–273.

[14] C. Wenchang, Determinant, Permanent, and MacMahon's Theorem. *Linear Algebra And Its Applications.* 255(1997), 171-183.

[15] F. Yilmaz and D. Bozkurt, Hessenberg matrices and the Pell and Perrin numbers. *Journal of Number Theory.* 131(2011), 1390-1396.